Evaluation of patients' knowledge about oral anticoagulant medicines and use of alert cards by community pharmacists

Yogini H. Jani, 21,2 Bindiya Hirani,2 and Carina Livingstone3

Author information Article notes Copyright and License information Disclaimer

Impacts on practice

- Few patients carry anticoagulant alert cards; pharmacists should provide these at point of dispensing to help address knowledge gaps and identify safety concerns.
- Information provision is particularly important for patients on direct-acting oral anticoagulant agents, who may be less aware of the nature of and reason for their anticoagulant treatment.
- Pharmacists should work in partnership with patients and prescribers to increase knowledge and awareness of potential interactions of anticoagulants with other medicines.

Go to:

Introduction

Use of anticoagulants has increased over the years with an overall 134.7% increase in the number of anticoagulant prescriptions dispensed between 2006 and 2016 in England [1]. Anticoagulants are high risk medicines [2, 3]; they have a heightened risk of causing significant harm when used in error and are frequently identified as a cause of preventable harm and admission to hospital. Warfarin, a vitamin K antagonist (VKA), is most commonly used and is widely known to health professionals, but newer direct-acting oral anticoagulants (DOACs) may not be recognised as anticoagulants and this has contributed to various medication errors [4]. Reported errors include inappropriate continuation or discontinuation of anticoagulant therapy, inadvertent co-prescribing with interacting drugs, which increase the risk of bleeding (such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and antiplatelet agents), and concomitant prescribing with other anticoagulants (such as heparin or warfarin) [4].

Patient education and improved understanding of their anticoagulant therapy has been shown to improve patient safety and help avoid preventable anticoagulant related adverse effects [5, 6]. The National Patient Safety Agency in England (now NHS Improvement) issued a patient safety alert with actions to improve anticoagulant safety, which included providing specific patient information, regular blood monitoring and checking drug interactions and subsequently updated this to include DOACs and advised that patients on anticoagulant therapy should always carry a standard yellow (credit card sized) alert card to inform health and social care practitioners that they are taking an anticoagulant.

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) has highlighted that information and awareness are essential in ensuring safe and effective use of anticoagulants [4].

A fundamental issue with all patient held information is patient understanding and uptake. Many studies report poor utilisation of patient held medical records by patients themselves [7]. They are too often made to be passive recipients of medicines and not informed and empowered to play their part in making the process of medication safer [8]. There is evidence of the role of community pharmacists in improving safety and adherence through monitoring and education [9, 10], identifying and mitigating interactions with over the counter medicines [11] and better management of anticoagulant therapy through collaboration between the pharmacist and the GP [12, 13].

Patient and public involvement is recommended as one of the four target areas identified by the World Health Organisation Global Patient Safety Challenge, 'Medication Without Harm' [8]. Our experience from two previous community pharmacy medicines safety audits made available across England is that collaborative audit-based evaluations can provide a successful large-scale means of raising awareness of key safety issues and drive improvement [14, 15].

Aim of the study

The primary aim of the study was to evaluate patient awareness of key knowledge about their anticoagulant medicine and the use of patient held anticoagulation alert cards. Secondary aims were to assess compliance with national alert recommendations including monitoring requirements for warfarin and explore the role of community pharmacists in anticoagulant safety.

Go to:

Go to:

Ethics approval

According to the NHS Health Research Authority decision tool and guidance [16], this study was a service evaluation as it was "designed and conducted solely to define or judge current care" and therefore did not require NHS research ethics approval.

Go to:

Methods

Community pharmacies in England were invited to participate in the evaluation as part of their contractual requirements under NHS regulations, which requires participation in two clinical audits per year [17]. Information about the study was published on the NHS Specialist Pharmacy Service (SPS) and Pharmaceutical Services Negotiating committee (PSNC) websites in November 2017 and circulated to multiple pharmacy networks including the Community Pharmacy Patient Safety Group, Local Pharmaceutical Committees, Medicines Use and Safety (MUS) Networks, Local Professional Network Leads and Chief Pharmacist Groups.

All patients who presented a prescription for an oral anticoagulant, whether VKA (acenocoumarol, phenindione, and warfarin) or DOAC (apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban and rivaroxaban) at participating community pharmacies were eligible. A minimum sample of 15 patients or 2 weeks per community pharmacy was recommended.

An online survey-based tool was developed by a stakeholder group led by SPS-MUS and included representatives from NHS Improvement, Day Lewis Pharmacy, Pinnacle Health Partnership, PSNC, the Royal Pharmaceutical Society and the Community Pharmacy Patient

Safety Group. The survey was based on the audit checklist for the national patient safety alert [18] and consisted of 29 questions addressing four areas of interest: patient demographics and concomitant medicines; patient knowledge; use of patient held alert cards; assessment of compliance with national guidance for safety indicators and monitoring of patients on warfarin; and the final section for any general comments including actions taken by the community pharmacist in response to a safety issue. Key knowledge was defined, on the basis of the national alert [18], as patients being aware that they are taking an anticoagulant medicine i.e. a medicine to thin the blood/prevent blood clots, and that are aware they need to check with a doctor or pharmacist before taking over-the-counter medicines or herbal products. A mixture of open and closed questions were used, with one five-point Likert scale to assess usefulness of alert cards, and the option to add free text comments. The tool was revised and finalised following pilot testing in 10 community pharmacies from the Day Lewis group [19]. Data was reported via PharmOutcomes, a secure platform used by pharmacies in England.

Data analysis

Responses were extracted from the centralised database, collated in Microsoft Excel[®] and transferred to Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) program version 25 for analysis. Data was cleansed to aid analysis; responses where the age was entered as more than 100 or if anticoagulant name was missing were excluded; individual survey items with missing items were included for analysis.

Descriptive statistics were used for patient demographics, medicines prescribed, possession of alert card, knowledge and awareness of anticoagulation medicine; Chi squared test of independence was used to assess the relationship between knowledge and awareness of anticoagulation medicine and factors that may influence this, such as type of anticoagulant (DOAC or VKA), domiciliary status, use of medicine compliance aid, and the possession of alert card. For patients on warfarin, percentage compliance with the national recommended standard for monitoring of international normalised ratio (INR) within the previous 12 weeks was assessed. Free text responses were analysed using an inductive thematic approach.

Go to:

Results

1515 pharmacists participated and submitted data for 22,684 patients over a 5-month period between November 2017 and March 2018. 22,624 cases were included in the analysis; 42 patients with an age less than 18, 9 patients with an age entered as over 100 and 9 patients where the name of the anticoagulant was not stated were excluded. Most of the patients were from London (14.1%) or Yorkshire and Northern regions (12.5%) as shown in Fig. <u>1</u>. The median age of patients was 75 years (range 18–100 years) with more male patients (57.6%) compared to female patients (42.4%). Warfarin was the most commonly prescribed oral anticoagulant (47.7%). Table <u>1</u> provides further details of gender, domiciliary status, oral anticoagulant prescribed and use of a multi-compartment compliance aid (MCA) by patients.



| Total = 22,624 | Number of patients (percentage) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Multi-compartment compliance aid used | 2420 (10.7%) |
| Anticoagulant prescribed | |
| Acenocoumarol | 75 (0.3%) |
| Apixaban | 5493 (24.3%) |
| Dabigatran | 728 (3.2%) |
| Edoxaban | 281 (1.2%) |
| Phenindione | 2 (0.01%) |
| Rivaroxaban | 5243 (23.2%) |
| Warfarin | 10,802 (47.7%) |
| Antiplatelet agent co-prescribed | Total = 1340 (5.9%) |
| Aspirin | 898 (4%) |

| Total = 22,624 | Number of patients (percentage) |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Clopidogrel | 400 (1.8%) |
| Dipyridamole | 6 (0.04%) |
| Ticragrelor | 2 |
| Aspirin and clopidogrel | 31 (0.13%) |
| Aspirin and ticragrelor | 1 |
| Method of data collection (^a patient not contacte | ed) |
| Conversation with the patient in the pharmacy | 12,944 (57.2%) |
| Conversation with the patient by telephone | 4046 (17.9%) |
| Patient's representative in pharmacy ^a | 2308 (10.2%) |
| Medicine delivered by pharmacy ^a | 3326 (14.7%) |

Concomitant drugs

Seventeen patients were reported with multiple anticoagulants prescribed. In 15 cases, warfarin was prescribed in combination with another anticoagulant. 1340 (6%) of patients were also on at least one antiplatelet agent. One-third of all patients were taking a proton-pump inhibitor or H₂-antagonist; with the proportion of patients on DOACs being higher than those on VKA (37.8%, 4436/11,749 vs. 31.5%, 3434/10,884; p < 0.001, Chi squared test) (Table 1).

Patient knowledge, awareness and use of alert cards

A total of 16,994 (75%) of patients were contacted directly in person or by phone. Of these, 14,274 (84%) patients had key knowledge defined as knowing that they are taking an anticoagulant as well as being aware of the need to check with the pharmacist or doctor before taking over the counter (OTC) medicines or herbal products. Key knowledge was reported by more patients who were prescribed a VKA and those who had an alert card, compared to those on a DOAC. Patients using an MCA had significantly less key knowledge than patients not using a MCA, and although fewer patients in care homes reported key knowledge, this was not found to be statistically significant (Table <u>2</u>). More warfarin patients had a traditional alert card compared to DOAC patients, who were in possession of other types of alert cards or records (Table <u>3</u>). Of all patients in possession of an alert card, three-quarters regarded alert cards as being useful or very useful (Fig. <u>2</u>). Patients reported a preference for laminated or plastic cards as "these alert cards easily became tatty" and the perceived usefulness of alert bracelets or necklaces over alert cards in an emergency.

Table 2

| | Key knowledge | <i>p</i> value (Chi squared test) | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | Yes (n = 14274ª) | Total (n = 16994 ^a) | |
| Not care home resident | 13,970 (84.0%) | 16,610 | <i>p</i> =0.168 |
| Care home resident | 110 (79.7%) | 138 | |
| Direct-acting oral anticoagulant | 6481 (78.7%) | 8237 | <i>p</i> < 0.001 |

Factors affecting patients' key knowledge

| | Key knowledge 1 | reported | <i>p</i> value (Chi squared test) |
|---|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Yes | Total | |
| | $(n = 14274^{a})$ | $(n = 16994^{a})$ | |
| Vitamin K antagonist | 7793 (89.0%) | 8757 | |
| Not using multi-compartment compliant | ce 13,437 (84.7%) | 15,869 | <i>p</i> < 0.001 |
| Using a multi-compartment compliance aid | 834 (74.1%) | 1125 | |
| No alert card | 2868 (77.3%) | 3708 | <i>p</i> < 0.001 |
| Possession of an alert card | 11,406 (85.8%) | 13,286 | |
| ^a Residency status not know for 246 patien Table 3 | nts | | |
| Comparison of key knowledge, aw direct-acting oral anticoagulants an | | alert cards report | ed by patients on |
| | Direct-acting oral nticoagulant (n = 823 | Warfarin 7) (n = 8696) | <i>p</i> value (Chi squared test) |
| Aware of type of medication | 7842 (95.2%) | 8541 (98. | 2%) <i>p</i> < 0.001 |

| | Direct-acting oral anticoagulant (n = 8237) | Warfarin (n = 8696) | <i>p</i> value (Chi squared test) |
|---|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Aware of checking about over the counter medication | 6647 (80.7%) | 7822 (89.9%) | <i>p</i> < 0.001 |
| Traditional alert card | | | |
| Verbal confirmation of this card | 2548 (30.9%) | 3676 (42.3%) | <i>p</i> < 0.001 |
| Card seen by pharmacy staff | 1071 (13.0%) | 2378 (27.3%) | |
| Not known/not reported | 825 (10.0%) | 470 (5.4%) | |
| No card or unaware of card | 3793 (46.0%) | 2172 (25.0%) | |
| Other alert card | 473 (5.7%) | 762 (8.8%) | <i>p</i> < 0.001 |
| Manufacturer's alert card | 4910 (59.6%) | 601 (6.9%) | |
| Not known/not reported | 671 (8.1%) | 1188 (13.7%) | |
| No card | 2183 (26.5%) | 6145 (70.7%) | |

| | | | | cting oral ulant (n = 8237) | Warfarin (n = 8696) | <i>p</i> value (Chi squared test) |
|------------------------|---------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Yel | llow b | ook | | 569 (6.9%) | 5673 (65.2%) | <i>p</i> < 0.001 |
| Oth | ner inf | ormation | | 1320 (16.0%) | 693 (8.0%) | |
| Not | t knov | vn/not reported | | 1221 (14.8%) | 533 (6.1%) | |
| No | book | or other information | | 5127 (62.2%) | 1797 (20.7%) | |
| | | | | | Open in a | a separate window |
| | 100 | 5 | | | | |
| | 90 | Y | | | | |
| ង | 80 | | | | | |
| tien | 70 | | | | | |
| f pa | 60 | | | | | |
| ge o | 50 | | | | | 50.4 |
| inta | 40 | 7 | | | | 50.1 |
| Percentage of patients | 30 | | | | | |
| Ъ | 20 | C | | | 24.1 | |
| | 10 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 16.7 | | |
| | 0 | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | | Usefuln | ess of Aler | t Card (1 = not | useful; 5 = very | useful) a separate window |
| <u>Fig.</u> | 2 | | | | <u>open in</u> | |

Patients perceived usefulness of alert cards

Compliance with national guidance for managing warfarin

Patients on warfarin were included in the assessment of compliance with national guidance (Table <u>4</u>). 82% had their INR tested within the past 12 weeks, in line with national guidance. Almost half (46.3%) of warfarin patients contacted were on alternate day dosing, which is contrary to the alert recommendations, and the dose instruction 'as directed' was used for 82.5% of warfarin patients. Good compliance (97.6%) was observed for exclusion of warfarin from MCA, with DOACs, notably edoxaban (21.7% patients on edoxaban), apixaban (20.9% of patients on apixaban) and dabigatran (9.5% of patients) more likely to be dispensed in MCA (Table <u>5</u>).

Table 4

Monitoring and record keeping of patients prescribed warfarin

| | All warfarin patients (n = 10,802) | Warfarin patients contacted (n = 8696) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | (1 10,002) | (1 00/0) |
| INR record seen | 3599 (33.3%) | 3283 (37.8%) |
| | | |
| INR last tested | | |
| | | |
| Less than 4 weeks ago | 5971 (55.3%) | 5477 (63.0%) |
| Between 4 and 12 weeks ago | 2785 (25.8%) | 2470 (28.4%) |
| | | |
| More than 12 weeks ago | 120 (1.1%) | 102 (1.2%) |
| | | |
| Not known | 19,276 (17.8%) | 644 (7.4%) |
| INR value | | |
| | | |
| Less than 2 | 645 (6.0%) | 603 (6.9%) |

| | All warfarin patients (n = 10,802) | Warfarin patients contacted (n = 8696) |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Between 2 and 5 | 7535 (69.8%) | 6908 (79.5%) |
| More than 5 | 57 (0.5%) | 52 (0.6%) |
| Not known | 2565 (23.7%) | 1130 (13.0%) |
| INR recorded in pharmacy | 3737 (34.6%) | 3330 (38.3%) |
| Required to halve or cut tablets for rea | quired dose | |
| Yes | 548 (5.1%) | 496 (5.7%) |
| No | 8716 (80.7%) | 7698 (88.6%) |
| Not known | 1538 (14.2%) | 499 (5.7%) |
| Reported alternate day dosing regime. | n | |
| Yes | 4387 (40.6%) | 4027 (46.3%) |

| | All warfarin patients (n = 10,802) | Warfarin patients contacted (n = 8696) |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| No | 4592 (42.5%) | 4013 (46.2%) |
| Not known | 1823 (16.9%) | 653 (7.5%) |
| Patient aware dietary changes can af | fect anticoagulation | |
| Yes | 7881 (73.0%) | 7061 (81.2%) |
| No | 1251 (11.6%) | 1140 (13.1%) |
| Not known | 1670 (15.5%) | 492 (5.7%) |
| Prescriber contacted due to safety concerns | 210 (1.9%) | 199 (2.3%) |
| <i>INR</i> international normalised ratio Table 5 | | Open in a separate window |

Anticoagulant dosing instructions and use of multi-compartment compliance aids

| | Dosage specified | | Multi-compartment compliance aid and type | |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | Yes | Yes—dose not consistent | Multiple medicines per compartment | Single medicine per compartment |
| Acenocoumarol (n = 75) | 12 (16.0%) | 6 (8.0%) | 3 (4%) | 1 (1.3%) |
| Apixaban (n = 5493) | 5424 (98.7%) | 7 (0.1%) | 996 (18.1%) | 149 (2.7%) |
| Dabigatran (n = 728) | 711 (97.7%) | 2 (0.3%) | 61 (8.4%) | 15 (2.1%) |
| Edoxaban (n = 281) | 276 (98.2%) | 0 | 50 (17.8%) | 11 (3.9%) |
| Phenindione (n = 2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rivaroxaban (n = 5243) | 5179 (98.8%) | 7 (0.1%) | 731 (13.9%) | 142 (2.7%) |
| Warfarin (n = 10,802) | 944 (8.7%) | 946 (8.8%) | 186 (1.7%) | 75 (0.7%) |

| | Dosage specified | | Multi-compartment compliance aid and type | |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | Yes | Yes—dose not consistent | Multiple medicines per compartment | Single medicine per compartment |
| Total (n = 22,624) | 12,546 (55.5%) | 968 (4.3%) | 2420 (9%) | 393 (1.7%) |

Open in a separate window

Pharmacist's contributions

For patients on warfarin, the pharmacists contacted the prescriber in 210 cases, to address safety or medicines optimisation issues. Safety issues included recommendation to stop concomitant antiplatelet (1 patient) and DOAC (1 patient); patients reporting adverse drug events such as bleeding (13 patients), bruising (4 patients), others (7 patients); high INR requiring intervention (7 patients), out of range or missing INR values requiring remeasurement (4 patients); wrong dose taken by patient (5 patients); and drug: drug interactions (24 patients) requiring adjustment of treatments. Medicines optimisation issues included addressing inappropriate dispensing in MCA; provision of appropriate tablet strengths to ensure safe dose administration (14 patients); highlighting the need to plan for procedures or interventions (4 patients); identifying potential non-adherence (2 patients); and provision of anticoagulation information and record books (4 patients).

Go to:

Discussion

The majority of the patients had the knowledge and awareness of their anticoagulant treatment, especially those on warfarin and those with alert cards of some kind. This is similar to a recent report where patient awareness of the purpose of their anticoagulant therapy was found to be high [20] even though the level of patient knowledge surrounding their anticoagulant treatment has generally been reported as requiring improvement in the wider literature [20–22]. Due to the popularity and long-term establishment of VKAs, patient understanding has more commonly been assessed in VKA patients compared to DOAC patients [6, 22, 23]. More research has since been conducted to assess patient knowledge in both VKA and DOAC patients, as well as in DOAC patients only [24].

Although the evaluation found reasonable compliance with national patient safety alert recommendations for monitoring warfarin with most patients confirming that regular blood tests were in place, the INR results were not always known by the dispensing pharmacist. Dosage instructions were not specified for acenocoumarol and warfarin in the majority of cases. The latter may be reflective of the fact that warfarin dosing instructions vary depending on INR results and are therefore recorded in specific oral anticoagulant therapy

books or similar records alongside the results. Relying on patient-held information to confirm safety in this way has repeatedly fallen short; there is clear need to rapidly operationalise a system which allows both prescribers and dispensers direct access to this information. Potential solutions are for these results to be included in the national Summary Care Record [25] or available on the NHS App [26].

Community pharmacists are in a key position to provide high-quality face-to-face care promoting the safe and effective use of anticoagulants and providing and reviewing patientheld booklets. In the current study, patients on DOACs and those whose medicines were dispensed in MCA were slightly less knowledgeable about their treatment and the need to inform other healthcare professionals before self-medicating to minimise risk of interactions and harm, whereas others have shown no difference in the overall anticoagulant knowledge in VKA and DOAC patients [20]. This may partly be a reflection of pharmacists self-confidence and knowledge providing care to patients on warfarin compared to DOACs [27, 28]. It may also relate to the variation in the types of alert cards and information booklets used despite national initiatives to standardise patient held anticoagulant information. Traditional yellow alert cards that included summary information to aid communication with other health professionals were more likely to be issued to patients on warfarin, whereas patients on DOACs were issued with a mix of medicines' manufacturers and other regional or organisational alert cards [29, 30]. There is a good case for sharing the latter materials to avoid duplication of effort and variation as individual organisations seek to meet the information needs of DOAC patients. The findings also highlighted that patients had suggestions for the design and development of alert materials to facilitate better use and improve usefulness, which could be harnessed in a co-design approach for any future revised national materials.

A number of safety contributions were made by community pharmacists through identification of adverse reactions, out of range INR values, and concomitant prescribing of interacting medicines. Medicines optimisation issues relating to ease of administration and adherence issues were also identified. In particular, the results showed that ten percent of patients on dabigatran had this dispensed in an MCA, which is against the manufacturer's recommendation to store in original packaging to protect from stability issues [31] and a potential safety concern.

The evaluation has a number of limitations. Participation was voluntary and related to a contractual requirement under NHS regulation, which may have led to a self-selection bias. However, there was good geographical distribution across the country with more than 3000 patients reported from each region and participation from 13% of all pharmacies in England 2017–2018 [32]. It was also the third centrally co-ordinated medicines safety collaborative audit and evaluation of this type and had the largest sample of both pharmacies and patients [9, 10]. Inclusion to the survey was dependent on patients having anticoagulants dispensed through the participating pharmacists. The survey results may be limited due to a small proportion of prescriptions not being collected by the patient themselves, and instead, being delivered or collected by the patients' representative, leading to missing or potentially incomplete or inaccurate information. This was a point prevalence survey of patients' anticoagulants. However, the evaluation was not designed to capture the potential reasons for this, which may include a transition period from one anticoagulant to another, or prescribing errors and unintended duplicate therapy.

Overall, this service evaluation highlighted a number of key aspects to improve anticoagulant safety. There is a need to update and standardise the type and format of alert cards, to

improve usefulness and use by patients. Community pharmacists require a supportive infrastructure to work in partnership with prescribers and patients. Additionally, this evaluation demonstrated that collaborative working across national pharmacy bodies can drive engagement with individual community pharmacies to support national medicines safety priorities. There is significant opportunity for such collaboratives to make an important contribution to the WHO goal of halving severe avoidable medication related harm by 2022.

Go to:

Conclusion

Anticoagulants are the class of medicines most frequently associated with serious patient harm. Overall, patients demonstrated a good awareness and knowledge about their anticoagulation treatment, concomitant medicines use and monitoring, but did not always use or value alert cards. Community pharmacists have a role in improving the safety of anticoagulation treatment and patient knowledge, through regular reviews and education.

Go to:

Acknowledgements

The project and data collection tools were developed with support and help from the Community Pharmacy Patient Safety Group, PharmOutcomes, Pharmaceutical Services Negotiating Committee, Day Lewis Pharmacy and NHS Improvement.

| | <u>Go to:</u> |
|---|---------------|
| Funding | |
| No specific funding was received for this work. | |
| | Go to: |
| Conflicts of interest | |
| None. | |
| | Go to: |
| Consent to participate | |
| Verbal consent of participants. | |
| | Go to: |
| Availability of data and materials | |
| On request from authors. | |
| | Go to: |
| Footnotes | |
| | |

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Go to:

References

1. Digital NHS. Prescriptions Dispensed in the Community—Statistics for England, 2006–2016. <u>https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/prescriptions-dispensed-in-the-community/prescriptions-dispensed-in-the-community-statistics-for-england-2006-2016-pas.</u> Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

2. Institute for Safe Medication Practices. High-Alert Medications in Acute Care Settings. 2018. <u>https://www.ismp.org/recommendations/high-alert-medications-acute-list</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

3. Medication Safety in High-risk Situations. (WHO/UHC/SDS/2019.10) ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019. <u>https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/325131/WHO-UHC-SDS-2019.10-eng.pdf?ua=1</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

4. Anticoagulants, including direct-acting oral anticoagulants (DOACs). Key therapeutic topic. Updated 2019 ed: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE); 2016. <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/ktt16/resources/anticoagulants-including-directacting-oral-anticoagulants-doacs-pdf-58757956094149</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

5. Hawes EM. Patient education on oral anticoagulation. *Pharmacy (Basel)* 2018;6(2):34.
doi: 10.3390/pharmacy6020034. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
6. Tang EO, Lai CS, Lee KK, Wong RS, Cheng G, Chan TY. Relationship between patients' warfarin knowledge and anticoagulation control. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2003;37(1):34–39.
doi: 10.1345/aph.1A198. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

7. Ko H, Turner T, Jones C, Hill C. Patient-held medical records for patients with chronic disease: a systematic review. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2010;19(5):e41. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

8. Patient Safety. WHO global patient safety challenge: medication without harm Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2017. <u>http://www.who.int/patientsafety/medication-safety/en/</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

9. Stafford L, Peterson GM, Bereznicki LR, Jackson SL, van Tienen EC, Angley MT, et al. Clinical outcomes of a collaborative, home-based postdischarge warfarin management service. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2011;45(3):325–334. doi: 10.1345/aph.1P617. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

10. Stafford L, van Tienen EC, Bereznicki LR, Peterson GM. The benefits of pharmacistdelivered warfarin education in the home. *Int J Pharm Pract.* 2012;20(6):384–389. doi: 10.1111/j.2042-7174.2012.00217.x. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

11. MacFarlane BV, Bergin JK, Reeves P, Matthews A. Australian pharmacies prevent potential adverse reactions in patients taking warfarin requesting over-the-counter analgesia. *Int J Pharm Pract.* 2015;23(3):167–172. doi: 10.1111/ijpp.12152. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

12. Harrison J, Shaw JP, Harrison JE. Anticoagulation management by community pharmacists in New Zealand: an evaluation of a collaborative model in primary care. *Int J Pharm Pract.* 2015;23(3):173–181. doi: 10.1111/ijpp.12148. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

13. Ingram SJ, Kirkdale CL, Williams S, Hartley E, Wintle S, Sefton V, et al. Moving anticoagulation initiation and monitoring services into the community: evaluation of the Brighton and hove community pharmacy service. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2018;18(1):91. doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-2901-8. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

14. Community Pharmacy NSAID Safety Audit. England: NHS Specialist Pharmacy Service; 2014.

15. National community pharmacy audit of hydration messages to prevent acute kidney injury England: NHS Specialist Pharmacy Service;

2017. <u>https://www.sps.nhs.uk/articles/national-community-pharmacy-audit-of-hydration-messages-to-prevent-acute-kidney-injury/</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

16. Is it research?: NHS Health Research Authority. <u>http://www.hra.nhs.uk/resources/before-you-apply/is-it-research/</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

17. PSNC. Clinical governance requirements for community pharmacy London: NHS Employers; 2012.

18. NPSA. Actions that can make anticoagulant therapy safer. Patient Safety Alert 18.: National Patient Safety Agency. NHS England.;

2007. <u>https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20171030124314/</u>. <u>http://www.nrls.npsa.nh</u> <u>s.uk/resources/?entryid45=59814&p=14</u>. Last Accessed 13 August 2020.

19. National Community Pharmacy Oral Anticoagulant Safety Audit. England: NHS Specialist Pharmacy Service; 2018. www.sps.nhs.uk. Accessed April 2020.

20. Konieczyńska M, Sobieraj E, Bryk AH, Dębski M, Polak M, Podolec P, et al. Differences in knowledge among patients with atrial fibrillation receiving non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants and vitamin K antagonists. Kardiol Pol. 76. Poland2018. p. 1089–96. [PubMed]

21. Hernández Madrid A, Potpara TS, Dagres N, Chen J, Larsen TB, Estner H, et al. Differences in attitude, education, and knowledge about oral anticoagulation therapy among patients with atrial fibrillation in Europe: result of a self-assessment patient survey conducted by the European Heart Rhythm Association. *Europace*. 2016;18(3):463–467.

doi: 10.1093/europace/euv448. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

22. Berdi F, Tadlaoui Y, Fahry A, Zbir M, Bennana A, Bousliman Y. CP-188 Evaluation of patient's knowledge about vitamin K antagonist treatment. *Eur J Hosp*

Pharm. 2017;24(Suppl 1):A84-A. [Google Scholar]

23. Palareti G, Legnani C, Guazzaloca G, Lelia V, Cosmi B, Lunghi B, et al. Risks factors for highly unstable response to oral anticoagulation: a case-control study. *Br J Haematol.* 2005;129(1):72–78. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2005.05417.x. [PubMed]

[CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

24. Obamiro KO, Chalmers L, Bereznicki LR. Development and Validation of an Oral Anticoagulation Knowledge Tool (AKT) *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(6):e0158071. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158071. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

25. Summary Care Record (SCR) in community pharmacy: NHS

Digital. <u>https://digital.nhs.uk/services/summary-care-records-scr/summary-care-record-scr-in-community-pharmacy</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

26. The NHS app. <u>https://www.nhs.uk/using-the-nhs/nhs-services/the-nhs-app/</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

27. Hamedi N, da Costa FA, Horne R, Levitan M, Begley A, Antoniou S. How prepared are pharmacists to support atrial fibrillation patients in adhering to newly prescribed oral anticoagulants? *Int J Clin Pharm.* 2017;39(6):1273–1281. doi: 10.1007/s11096-017-0529-0. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

28. Papastergiou J, Kheir N, Ladova K, Rydant S, De Rango F, Antoniou S, et al. Pharmacists' confidence when providing pharmaceutical care on anticoagulants, a multinational survey. *Int J Clin Pharm.* 2017;39(6):1282–1290. doi: 10.1007/s11096-017-0551-2. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] 29. 'New oral anti-coagulant' medicines or NOACs Patient Information Leaflet Portsmouth: Wessex Academic Health Science

Network. <u>https://wessexahsn.org.uk/img/projects/NOAC%20Patient%20information%20Sept</u> ember%202016.pdf. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

30. Northern England Clinical Network (NECN) Non-Vitamin K Oral Anticoagulant medicines (NOAC) Alert Cards: Northern England Clinical Network, NHS

England. <u>https://www.ahsn-nenc.org.uk/what-we-do/improving-population-health/atrial-fibrillation/noac-alert-card/</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.

31. Robertson SG, Glass BD. Stability of repackaged dabigatran etexilate capsules in dose administration aids. *Eur J Hosp Pharm.* 2018;25(e2):e93. doi: 10.1136/ejhpharm-2017-001224. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

32. Clinical commissioning group population estimates (National Statistics): Mid-2017: SAPE20DT5: Office for National Statistics;

2017. <u>https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/datasets/clinicalcommissioninggroupmidyearpopulationestimates</u>. Last Accessed 13 Aug 2020.